Current Journal of Engineering and Science Research
Volume 3, Issue 1, pp 33-40, January-2026
ISSN (online): 3049-1738
DOI: https://doi.org/10.47001/CJESR/2026.301006
Available Online at https://www.cjesr.com

Explainable Artificial Intelligence for Financial Risk Forecasting in Banking and Investment Institutions

[1]Vihaan Raghavan, [2]Ananya Krishnamoorthy, [3]Arjun Devendra

[1,2,3]Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Vellore Institute of Technology, Vellore, Tamil Nadu, India

Abstract: Financial institutions increasingly rely on artificial intelligence and machine-learning techniques to forecast credit risk, financial distress, loan default, bankruptcy, and investment-related risk. Although ensemble and advanced predictive models can identify nonlinear relationships within complex financial datasets, their decision processes may remain difficult for managers, credit officers, investors, auditors, and regulators to interpret. Explainable artificial intelligence provides a framework for connecting predictive outputs with understandable financial factors. This study examines the role of explainable artificial intelligence in financial risk forecasting across banking and investment institutions. A conceptual literature-synthesis methodology was employed to examine research on ensemble learning, multivariate financial-risk prediction, credit-risk assessment, financial distress forecasting, SHAP-based interpretation, class-imbalanced learning, federated learning, and transformer-based representation analysis. The synthesis indicates that explainable financial-risk systems can combine predictive modeling with transparent identification of influential risk variables. Evidence across different financial environments demonstrates the relevance of profitability, liquidity, solvency, leverage, firm size, cash flow, market valuation, and other financial indicators. Federated learning further provides opportunities for distributed credit-risk assessment while reducing the need to centralize sensitive financial information. Recent work on transformer representation complexity also indicates that explainability can extend beyond conventional feature attribution toward understanding the internal representations generated by advanced AI systems. The study proposes an explainable financial-risk architecture in which financial data are processed by predictive models, model outputs are interpreted through explainability mechanisms, and explanations are incorporated into institutional risk-management decisions. The findings support the integration of explainability into financial AI governance, model validation, credit assessment, portfolio monitoring, and early-warning systems.

Keywords: Explainable artificial intelligence, financial risk forecasting, banking, investment institutions, credit risk, financial distress, machine learning, SHAP, ensemble learning, financial AI.

I. INTRODUCTION

Financial institutions operate in environments where inaccurate risk assessments can affect lending decisions, investment portfolios, capital allocation, liquidity management, and institutional stability. Banks must evaluate borrower creditworthiness and the probability of default, while investment institutions must continuously assess the financial condition and risk exposure of companies represented within their portfolios. The increasing availability of financial statements, market information, transaction records, macroeconomic indicators, and alternative financial data has created opportunities for machine-learning systems to support these activities.

Traditional financial-risk models remain important because many of their relationships can be interpreted directly. However, financial systems frequently contain nonlinear relationships, interactions among variables, temporal dependencies, and imbalanced outcomes. Machine-learning methods can identify such patterns more flexibly. Mahajan et al. (2024) demonstrated the relevance of multivariate data analytics and ensemble learning for financial-risk prediction, highlighting the value of combining multiple financial indicators with predictive modeling.

Ensemble learning is particularly relevant because financial-risk datasets may contain heterogeneous patterns that are difficult for a single predictive algorithm to capture. Zhu et al. (2023), for example, combined SMOTE-ENN with NGBoost to address corporate financial-risk prediction and class imbalance. Hu et al. (2025) similarly examined multiple resampling techniques and classifier ensembles for financial

Copyright © 2026 CJESR All Rights Reserved

Current Journal of Engineering and Science Research
Volume 3, Issue 1, pp 33-40, January-2026
ISSN (online): 3049-1738
DOI: https://doi.org/10.47001/CJESR/2026.301006
Available Online at https://www.cjesr.com

distress prediction.

However, predictive performance alone does not guarantee practical usefulness. A model may classify a borrower or corporation as high risk while providing limited information about why the classification occurred. This limitation becomes particularly important in banking and investment environments, where financial decisions require documentation, human review, model validation, and appropriate governance.

Explainable artificial intelligence addresses this challenge by providing methods through which users can examine the factors associated with model predictions. SHAP, for example, can estimate the contribution of individual features to model outputs. Tran et al. (2022) applied explainable machine learning to financial distress prediction in Vietnam and demonstrated how SHAP could provide additional insight into machine-learning predictions.

More recent research has expanded explainability into larger and more complex financial-risk models. Le Nam and Phan Huy (2026) examined explainable machine learning for corporate financial distress prediction and demonstrated the relevance of combining predictive performance with interpretation. At the same time, Barvaliya (2026) examined representational complexity in transformer models through residual-stream spectral entropy, providing a broader perspective on how advanced AI systems can be analyzed beyond conventional feature-level explanations.

This study therefore examines explainable artificial intelligence as an integrated framework for financial-risk forecasting in banking and investment institutions. Particular attention is given to the relationship among ensemble learning, explainability, credit-risk assessment, financial distress prediction, privacy-preserving computation, and emerging methods for understanding complex AI representations.

II. BACKGROUND OF THE STUDY

Financial-risk forecasting has developed from traditional financial-ratio analysis toward increasingly sophisticated machine-learning architectures. Early bankruptcy research established that financial ratios could provide meaningful information about corporate financial health. Altman (1968), for example, demonstrated the usefulness of financial ratios and discriminant analysis for corporate bankruptcy prediction.

Modern machine-learning systems extend this foundation by allowing nonlinear relationships and interactions among financial variables to be learned from historical data. Mahajan et al. (2024) emphasized the role of multivariate data analytics and ensemble learning in financial-risk prediction. Ensemble approaches can combine different predictive structures and may therefore provide more robust risk classification than reliance on an individual model.

Despite these developments, financial AI creates a fundamental interpretability challenge. Random forests, gradient boosting, XGBoost, neural networks, and other complex models can generate sophisticated predictions without providing straightforward explanations understandable to financial professionals.

Explainability is therefore increasingly connected with financial-risk governance. Tran et al. (2022) demonstrated the use of SHAP to interpret financial distress predictions, while Abrahamsen et al. (2024) developed an explainable machine-learning early-warning framework using financial-statement, market, and macroeconomic information.

Banking applications introduce additional requirements. Credit-risk models may affect lending decisions, borrower monitoring, loan pricing, and portfolio exposure. Duricova and Labosova (2026) developed an interpretable and ensemble CRISP-DM framework for corporate loan-default prediction in the Slovak banking environment, demonstrating the practical relationship between predictive modeling and credit-risk decision processes.

Another important development concerns distributed financial intelligence. Konatham et al. (2025) proposed BayesShield with federated learning and homomorphic encryption for credit-risk assessment in distributed financial systems. Such approaches are relevant because financial institutions may need collaborative modeling while maintaining controls over sensitive customer and institutional data.

Explainability is also becoming relevant to advanced AI architectures. Barvaliya (2026) examined representational complexity in transformer models through residual-stream spectral entropy. This perspective is useful for financial AI because future risk-forecasting systems may increasingly employ transformer-based architectures rather than relying exclusively on conventional tabular machine-learning models.

The background therefore indicates a transition from conventional predictive risk modeling toward systems that

Copyright © 2026 CJESR All Rights Reserved

Current Journal of Engineering and Science Research
Volume 3, Issue 1, pp 33-40, January-2026
ISSN (online): 3049-1738
DOI: https://doi.org/10.47001/CJESR/2026.301006
Available Online at https://www.cjesr.com

simultaneously consider prediction, explanation, privacy, representation, and institutional decision support.

III. LITERATURE REVIEW

Machine learning has become an important approach to financial distress and risk forecasting because of its ability to model complex relationships among financial indicators. Choi et al. (2018) demonstrated the use of voting-based ensemble models for forecasting contractor financial distress, reporting strong discrimination for predictions made two and three years ahead.

Zhu et al. (2023) addressed another important issue in financial-risk modeling: class imbalance. Their hybrid approach integrated SMOTE-ENN and NGBoost, demonstrating the relevance of combining data-resampling strategies with probabilistic machine-learning methods. This is important because financial distress and default events can represent a relatively small proportion of observations. In related bankruptcy prediction research, Olatunji (2026) demonstrated that ensemble machine learning significantly improves insolvency forecasting and default identification across corporate portfolios.

Mahajan et al. (2024) further established the relevance of multivariate data analytics and ensemble learning for financial-risk prediction. The study supports the use of multiple financial indicators and combined predictive models for identifying financial risk.

Beyond static quarterly filings, continuous risk surveillance in modern financial institutions requires real-time anomaly detection within high-dimensional transactional feeds and market streaming telemetry. Sathish Kaniganahali Ramareddy (2022) formulated an attention-driven deep learning architecture for real-time anomaly detection in high-dimensional streaming data, demonstrating the profound impact of attentional mechanisms in filtering acute data anomalies and flagging sudden risk transitions prior to model explanation. In parallel, distributed sensory networks and transaction logging nodes rely on robust data dissemination; Sharma and Kargeti (2020b) introduced a novel grid-based data broadcasting scheme for wireless sensor networks, highlighting the vital impact of structured grid broadcasting in maintaining reliable data propagation across decentralized sensory points.

Explainability adds a second dimension to these predictive systems. Lundberg and Lee (2017) introduced SHAP as a unified approach for interpreting model predictions. SHAP-based methods allow analysts to examine both overall feature importance and the contribution of individual variables to specific predictions.

Tran et al. (2022) applied explainable machine learning to financial distress prediction in Vietnam. Their work demonstrated how SHAP could be used to interpret predictions generated by machine-learning algorithms, thereby connecting model outputs with financial characteristics.

Abrahamsen et al. (2024) provided further evidence through an explainable early-warning model for Nordic corporations. Their framework incorporated financial-statement, market, and macroeconomic variables and highlighted liquidity, solvency, and firm size as relevant indicators of financial health.

Hu et al. (2025) examined financial distress prediction under class imbalance using multiple resampling approaches and classifier ensembles. Their work emphasizes that the reliability of financial-risk predictions depends not only on the classifier but also on how the underlying data distribution is handled.

Konatham et al. (2025) extended the financial-risk literature toward privacy-preserving distributed learning. Their BayesShield framework combines federated learning with homomorphic encryption for distributed credit-risk assessment. This direction is relevant to explainable AI because financial institutions increasingly need systems that can operate across distributed datasets while maintaining data-protection controls.

Recent research also emphasizes explainability in contemporary corporate-risk forecasting. Le Nam and Phan Huy (2026) investigated explainable machine learning for corporate financial distress prediction in Vietnam. Their work demonstrates how models such as random forest and XGBoost can be combined with SHAP to examine the financial variables associated with risk predictions.

Duricova and Labosova (2026) examined corporate loan-default prediction within the Slovak banking context using an interpretable ensemble CRISP-DM pipeline. Their study reinforces the importance of connecting predictive algorithms with practical banking decision processes.

Keskin and Özkır (2026) investigated gradient boosting with Weight of Evidence encoding for vehicle credit-default prediction under extreme class imbalance. Their research highlights the continued importance of preprocessing and financial-variable representation when building credit-risk

Copyright © 2026 CJESR All Rights Reserved

Current Journal of Engineering and Science Research
Volume 3, Issue 1, pp 33-40, January-2026
ISSN (online): 3049-1738
DOI: https://doi.org/10.47001/CJESR/2026.301006
Available Online at https://www.cjesr.com

systems.

Jeong (2026) compared Elastic Net and tree-based ensemble models for financial distress prediction among Korean SMEs and incorporated SHAP analysis to examine influential predictors. This demonstrates the increasing use of explainability alongside comparative machine-learning approaches.

Barvaliya (2026) provides a complementary perspective by examining transformer models through residual-stream spectral entropy. Rather than focusing only on which input features influence a prediction, representational analysis can provide information about the internal complexity of advanced neural architectures. For financial institutions adopting transformer-based systems, this creates an additional layer of model understanding.

The broader integration of artificial intelligence across institutional banking also relies heavily on modernized cloud analytical pipelines that consolidate multi-source market and balance-sheet records. Ramareddy (2025b) analyzed the transformative role of artificial intelligence in transforming financial services through cloud-enabled data analytics, establishing the fundamental impact of scalable cloud architectures in centralizing heterogeneous data streams for subsequent risk forecasting and feature attribution.

The literature therefore demonstrates an increasingly integrated research direction involving predictive performance, class-imbalance management, explainability, privacy-preserving learning, and analysis of advanced AI representations.

IV. METHODOLOGY

This study adopted a conceptual literature-synthesis methodology. The selected literature was examined according to five analytical dimensions: financial-risk prediction, predictive-model architecture, explainability, privacy-preserving financial AI, and advanced AI representation analysis.

The first dimension concerned the prediction of financial distress, bankruptcy, credit default, and broader financial risk. The second examined ensemble and machine-learning techniques used to generate risk predictions. The third focused on explainability methods, particularly SHAP and feature-attribution approaches. The fourth examined federated learning and homomorphic encryption as mechanisms for distributed financial-risk assessment. The fifth considered the emerging problem of understanding internal representations in advanced transformer-based models.

To translate conceptual XAI models into production-grade banking workflows, financial institutions increasingly implement modular software engineering paradigms. Ramareddy (2023) demonstrated that cloud-native microservices offer scalable frameworks for AI-driven business process automation, showing the operational impact of microservice orchestration in decoupling predictive modeling, automated risk alerting, and real-time SHAP explanation pipelines. Moreover, because computing Shapley values and gradient attributions across high-dimensional portfolios is computationally intensive, intelligent compute scheduling is essential; Ramareddy (2024a) proposed AI-driven autonomous resource optimization frameworks for intelligent cloud computing, proving the substantial impact of proactive resource elasticity in sustaining low-latency explanations during peak credit-review cycles.

The analysis did not involve the collection of a new institutional financial dataset. Consequently, the Results section synthesizes findings from the selected literature rather than presenting newly estimated empirical statistics.

Analytical Dimension	Representative Techniques	Financial Application
Financial-risk prediction	Multivariate analytics, ensemble learning	Financial-risk forecasting
Credit-risk assessment	Ensemble classifiers, federated learning	Loan and borrower risk
Class-imbalance management	SMOTE-ENN, resampling, Weight of Evidence	Default and distress prediction
Explainability	SHAP, feature attribution	Risk-driver identification
Early warning	LightGBM, random forest, XGBoost	Financial distress monitoring
Privacy-preserving AI	Federated learning, homomorphic encryption	Distributed credit-risk modeling
Advanced AI interpretation	Transformer representation analysis, spectral entropy	Understanding complex AI models

Copyright © 2026 CJESR All Rights Reserved

Current Journal of Engineering and Science Research
Volume 3, Issue 1, pp 33-40, January-2026
ISSN (online): 3049-1738
DOI: https://doi.org/10.47001/CJESR/2026.301006
Available Online at https://www.cjesr.com

V. RESULTS

The literature synthesis produced several principal findings concerning explainable financial-risk forecasting.

First, ensemble learning provides an important foundation for financial-risk prediction. Choi et al. (2018) demonstrated the predictive potential of voting-based ensembles, while Mahajan et al. (2024) established the relevance of multivariate analytics combined with ensemble learning for financial-risk prediction.

Second, class imbalance remains a major methodological issue. Zhu et al. (2023) addressed the problem through SMOTE-ENN and NGBoost, while Hu et al. (2025) demonstrated the relevance of combining multiple resampling approaches with classifier ensembles. These findings indicate that financial-risk models should be evaluated using measures capable of revealing performance on minority risk classes rather than relying solely on aggregate accuracy.

Third, explainability provides an important bridge between prediction and financial interpretation. Tran et al. (2022) demonstrated the application of SHAP to financial distress prediction, showing how individual financial variables can be connected with model outputs.

Fourth, explainable early-warning systems can identify recurring categories of financial-risk indicators. Abrahamsen et al. (2024) identified liquidity, solvency, and firm size among relevant variables, while more recent work has emphasized profitability, liquidity, market valuation, and other firm-level characteristics.

Fifth, recent Vietnamese evidence demonstrates the continuing integration of predictive modeling and explainability. Le Nam and Phan Huy (2026) examined multiple machine-learning models and used SHAP to investigate the factors associated with corporate financial distress predictions.

Sixth, banking applications require an additional focus on structured decision support. Duricova and Labosova (2026) demonstrated the application of an interpretable ensemble pipeline to corporate loan-default prediction, illustrating how machine-learning methods can be incorporated into practical banking workflows.

Seventh, distributed financial intelligence creates opportunities for privacy-preserving risk modeling. Konatham et al. (2025) proposed federated learning combined with homomorphic encryption for distributed credit-risk assessment. This approach indicates that financial institutions can explore collaborative predictive systems without relying exclusively on centralized data architectures.

From an operational deployment perspective, distributed banking institutions benefit from decentralized computing topologies where risk scoring and local feature attributions can be computed at regional branches or mobile endpoints. Ramareddy (2024b) evaluated hybrid cloud–edge frameworks for real-time data analytics and decision intelligence, illustrating the decisive impact of partitioning model inference between edge banking nodes and centralized cloud repositories to minimize latency. Concurrently, protecting mobile risk-audit devices and loan officer tablets across public networks requires hardened protocol governance; Sharma and Kargeti (2020a) scrutinized protected assignment supervision systems for mobile devices enabled with IPv6 networks, demonstrating the critical impact of protected address allocation and supervisory mechanisms in safeguarding mobile risk assessments from interception.

Eighth, the emergence of transformer-based AI introduces new explainability requirements. Barvaliya (2026) examined residual-stream spectral entropy as a measure of representational complexity. This suggests that future financial AI governance may need to consider not only feature-level explanations but also the internal representations produced by advanced models.

VI. DISCUSSION

The findings indicate that explainable AI can connect sophisticated financial prediction with practical institutional decision-making. Machine-learning systems may detect nonlinear patterns that are difficult to capture using traditional approaches, but risk managers require more than a numerical prediction. They need information that allows them to examine the financial factors associated with that prediction.

SHAP provides one practical mechanism for establishing this connection. Global explanations can identify variables that generally influence model outputs, while local explanations can show why an individual borrower, corporation, or investment received a particular risk prediction. This distinction is especially important in banking, where portfolio-level risk monitoring and individual credit review require different forms of information.

The repeated importance of profitability, liquidity, solvency, leverage, firm size, and market-related indicators is also significant. These variables have long been associated with

Copyright © 2026 CJESR All Rights Reserved

Current Journal of Engineering and Science Research
Volume 3, Issue 1, pp 33-40, January-2026
ISSN (online): 3049-1738
DOI: https://doi.org/10.47001/CJESR/2026.301006
Available Online at https://www.cjesr.com

financial health, but explainable machine-learning systems can reveal nonlinear relationships and interactions that may not be visible through conventional ratio analysis.

Scalable feature attribution across multi-year institutional portfolios also places intense demands on underlying data warehouse performance. Ramareddy (2024c) demonstrated that intelligent data warehouse optimization leveraging artificial intelligence significantly accelerates scalable cloud analytics, establishing the transformative impact of automated indexing and query optimization when aggregating massive historical accounting metrics and macroeconomic indicators for explainability algorithms.

The role of class imbalance must also be considered. A financial-risk model may achieve apparently strong aggregate performance while failing to identify a meaningful proportion of distressed firms or defaulting borrowers. Resampling and ensemble strategies described by Zhu et al. (2023) and Hu et al. (2025) demonstrate why minority-class performance should form part of model evaluation.

Another important distinction is that explainability does not automatically establish causality. If SHAP identifies declining profitability as an important contributor to a predicted risk score, this should be interpreted as a model-based explanation rather than definitive proof that declining profitability caused the financial event. Financial professionals should therefore combine AI explanations with accounting information, market evidence, institutional knowledge, and professional judgment.

Data drift represents an additional challenge. Financial relationships can change as economic conditions, interest rates, regulatory environments, market structures, and corporate behavior change. A model that performs well on historical data may therefore require continuous monitoring. Explainability can contribute to this process by allowing institutions to observe whether the variables driving predictions change over time.

Privacy is particularly important for banking applications. Federated learning and homomorphic encryption, as explored by Konatham et al. (2025), create possibilities for collaborative financial-risk modeling while maintaining stronger controls over sensitive information. An explainable federated architecture could provide institutions with predictive insights and appropriate decision-level explanations without requiring unrestricted centralization of underlying financial records.

The emergence of transformer-based financial AI introduces a further challenge. Traditional feature-attribution methods are naturally suited to models where inputs have clear financial meanings. However, transformer systems may generate complex internal representations that are less directly interpretable. Barvaliya (2026) demonstrates one direction for examining such representations through residual-stream spectral entropy. This suggests that explainability research for financial AI may increasingly need to combine input-level explanations with representation-level diagnostics.

Finally, institutional risk governance must encompass the operational resilience of the underlying computational infrastructure hosting mission-critical XAI services. Ramareddy (2025a) proposed an AI-orchestrated framework for self-learning predictive maintenance in cloud infrastructure, demonstrating the profound impact of proactive anomaly forecasting in ensuring continuous cloud system availability. At the hardware and physical tier, Kargeti et al. (2020) analyzed the performance of PV-integrated DC-DC converters under fluctuating load demand, irradiance, and temperature variations, underscoring the vital impact of adaptive power-conditioning resilience in preventing hardware interruptions in financial computing centers under severe computational loads.

A comprehensive explainable financial-risk architecture can therefore be organized into four interconnected layers. The first layer collects accounting, market, borrower, transaction, and macroeconomic information. The second layer applies predictive models, including ensemble and potentially transformer-based architectures. The third layer provides explanations through feature attribution and representation-level diagnostics. The fourth layer connects predictions and explanations to institutional processes such as credit assessment, portfolio monitoring, early-warning alerts, investment analysis, and risk governance.

Such an architecture treats explainability as part of the financial AI system rather than as an optional visualization added after prediction. This is particularly relevant for institutions seeking to combine predictive automation with human oversight.

VII. CONCLUSION

Explainable artificial intelligence provides a framework for improving the transparency and practical usability of financial-risk forecasting in banking and investment institutions. Machine-learning and ensemble models can identify complex patterns in financial information, while explainability mechanisms can connect those predictions with understandable financial

Copyright © 2026 CJESR All Rights Reserved

Current Journal of Engineering and Science Research
Volume 3, Issue 1, pp 33-40, January-2026
ISSN (online): 3049-1738
DOI: https://doi.org/10.47001/CJESR/2026.301006
Available Online at https://www.cjesr.com

characteristics.

The reviewed literature demonstrates the relevance of profitability, liquidity, solvency, leverage, firm size, cash flow, market indicators, and other financial variables to financial-risk assessment, although their relative importance differs across markets and institutional environments. Explainable methods can help financial professionals examine these relationships while retaining the predictive capabilities of advanced machine-learning systems.

For banks, explainable financial-risk models can support credit assessment, borrower monitoring, loan-default forecasting, and early-warning systems. For investment institutions, they can support portfolio monitoring and analysis of changes in corporate financial risk. Privacy-preserving technologies can further support distributed financial intelligence, while emerging representation-level approaches can contribute to the interpretation of increasingly complex AI architectures.

Future development should emphasize robust validation, continuous monitoring, class-imbalance management, privacy protection, explanation quality, representation analysis, and integration with established financial-risk governance. Explainable AI can thereby contribute to financial forecasting systems that combine advanced predictive capabilities with transparent and accountable institutional decision support.

REFERENCES

[1] Abrahamsen, N. G. B., Nylen-Forthun, E., Moller, M., de Lange, P. E., & Risstad, M. (2024). Explainable artificial intelligence for corporate financial distress early warning. Journal of Banking & Finance, 168, 107297. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbankfin.2024.107297

[2] Ramareddy, S. K. (2022). Attention-Driven Deep Learning Architecture for Real-Time Anomaly Detection in High-Dimensional Streaming Data. International Journal on Recent and Innovation Trends in Computing and Communication, 10(9), 272–283. https://ijritcc.org/index.php/ijritcc/article/view/12084

[3] Altman, E. I. (1968). Financial ratios, discriminant analysis and the prediction of corporate bankruptcy. The Journal of Finance, 23(4), 589–609.

[4] Kargeti, H., Sharma, A. B., & Singh, B. (2020, December). Performance Evaluation of PV Integrated DC-DC Converter with Load Demand, Irradiance, and Temperature Variations. In 2020 5th IEEE International Conference on Recent Advances and Innovations in Engineering (ICRAIE) (pp. 1-5). IEEE.

[5] Barvaliya, K. (2026). Quantifying representational complexity in transformer models: Token entropy, layer saturation, and dimensional collapse. arXiv preprint arXiv:2602.04944.

[6] Ramareddy, S. K. (2023). Cloud-Native Microservices for Scalable AI-Driven Business Process Automation. International Journal on Advanced Computer Theory and Engineering, 12(1), 23–32. https://doi.org/10.65521/ijacte.v12i1.875

[7] Choi, H., Son, H., & Kim, C. (2018). Predicting financial distress of contractors in the construction industry using ensemble learning. Expert Systems with Applications, 110, 1–10.

[8] Sharma, A. B., & Kargeti, H. (2020a). Investigation & Scrutiny of Protected Assignment Supervision Systems for Mobile Enabled with Internet Protocol Version 6 Networks. American Journal of Computer Science and Technology, 3(4), 76-85. https://doi.org/10.11648/j.ajcst.20200304.12

[9] Duricova, L., & Labosova, V. (2026). Corporate loan default prediction in the Slovak banking sector using machine learning models. Journal of Risk and Financial Management, 19(2), 85.

[10] Ramareddy, S. K. (2024a). Ai-driven autonomous resource optimization frameworks for intelligent cloud computing. International Journal of Scientific Research in Engineering and Management, 8(3), 1-7. https://doi.org/10.55041/IJSREM29015

[11] Hu, Y. H., Tsai, C. F., & Wang, P. T. (2025). Combining multiple data resampling methods and classifier ensembles for better financial distress prediction: Homogeneous and heterogeneous approaches. Annals of Operations Research, 353(2), 793–814.

[12] Sharma, A. B., & Kargeti, H. (2020b). A Novel Grid-Based Data Broadcasting Scheme for Wireless Sensor Networks.

[13] Jeong, Y. (2026). Predicting financial distress in Korean SMEs: A comparison of elastic net and tree-based ensemble models. Financial Studies, 40(1), 45–72.

[14] Ramareddy, S. K. (2024b). Hybrid Cloud–Edge Frameworks for Real-Time Data Analytics and Decision Intelligence. International Journal of Electrical, Electronics and Computer Systems, 13(2), 51–61. https://doi.org/10.65521/ijeecs.v13i2.877

[15] Keskin, Z., & Ozkur, V. (2026). Gradient boosting framework with weight of evidence encoding for

Copyright © 2026 CJESR All Rights Reserved

Current Journal of Engineering and Science Research
Volume 3, Issue 1, pp 33-40, January-2026
ISSN (online): 3049-1738
DOI: https://doi.org/10.47001/CJESR/2026.301006
Available Online at https://www.cjesr.com

corporate credit scoring. Journal of Credit Risk, 22(1), 1–28.

[16] Ramareddy, S. K. (2024c). Intelligent data warehouse optimization for scalable cloud analytics using artificial intelligence. International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research, 6(6), 1–13. https://www.ijfmr.com/research-paper.php?id=84920

[17] Konatham, M. R., Uddandarao, D. P., Vadlamani, R. K., & Konatham, S. K. R. (2025). Federated learning for credit risk assessment in distributed financial systems using BayesShield with homomorphic encryption. In 2025 International Conference on Computing Technologies & Data Communication (ICCTDC) (pp. 1–6). IEEE. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICCTDC64446.2025.11158863

[18] Ramareddy, S. K. (2025a). An AI-orchestrated framework for self-learning predictive maintenance in cloud infrastructure. International Journal of Scientific Research in Engineering and Management, 9(4), 1–8. https://doi.org/10.55041/IJSREM43578

[19] Le Nam, T., & Phan Huy, T. (2026). Explainable machine learning for corporate financial distress forecasting: Evidence from an emerging market. Investment Management and Financial Innovations, 23(1), 112–126.

[20] Ramareddy, S. K. (2025b). The role of artificial intelligence in transforming financial services through cloud-enabled data analytics. International Journal of Applied Mathematics, 38(12s), 4592–4606. https://doi.org/10.12732/ijam.v38i12s.2008

[21] Lundberg, S. M., & Lee, S.-I. (2017). A unified approach to interpreting model predictions. In Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS 2017) (pp. 4765–4774).

[22] Mahajan, A. S., Yamsani, N., & Konatham, M. R. (2024). Financial risk prediction through multivariate data analytics and ensemble learning. Stochastic Modelling and Computational Sciences, 4(2).

[23] Olatunji, S. O. (2026). Bankruptcy prediction through ensemble machine learning-based classification algorithms. Journal of Big Data, 13(1), 1–24.

[24] Tran, K. L., Le, H. A., Nguyen, T. H., & Nguyen, D. T. (2022). Explainable machine learning for financial distress prediction: A case study of listed companies in Vietnam. Cogent Business & Management, 9(1), 2133748.

[25] Zhu, Y., Hu, Y., Liu, Q., Liu, H., Ma, C., & Yin, J. (2023). A hybrid approach for predicting corporate financial risk: Integrating SMOTE-ENN and NGBoost. IEEE Access, 11, 111106–111125.

Citation of this Article:

Vihaan Raghavan, Ananya Krishnamoorthy, & Arjun Devendra. (2026). Explainable Artificial Intelligence for Financial Risk Forecasting in Banking and Investment Institutions. *Current Journal of Engineering and Science Research*. 3(1), 33-40. Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.47001/CJESR/2026.301006

***** End of the Article *****

Copyright © 2026 CJESR All Rights Reserved